Original Research

SOLON COUNCIL.

Cyclical Macroeconomic Fluctuations and the Functional Dynamics of Public Health Systems in Advanced Economies

Thiago Moreira[1] and Larissa Carvalho[2]

[1]Federal University of Ouro Preto, Rua Diogo de Vasconcelos, Ouro Preto, Brazil.
[2]University of Vale do Itajaí, Avenida Marcos Konder, Itajaí, Brazil.

Abstract

The relationship between macroeconomic cycles and public health system performance has emerged as a critical area of inquiry, particularly following the 2008 financial crisis and subsequent economic disruptions that revealed vulnerabilities in health system financing and service delivery across advanced economies. This paper examines the dynamic interactions between cyclical macroeconomic fluctuations and the functional capacity of public health systems in developed nations, with particular emphasis on resource allocation efficiency, service accessibility, and health outcome optimization during periods of economic expansion and contraction. Through comprehensive analysis of longitudinal data from OECD countries spanning 2000-2023, we develop a sophisticated mathematical framework that models the temporal dependencies between GDP volatility, fiscal policy adjustments, and health system performance indicators. Our findings reveal that public health systems exhibit asymmetric responses to economic cycles, with contractions producing more pronounced negative effects than the positive gains realized during expansions. The research demonstrates that countries with higher baseline health expenditure ratios and more diversified funding mechanisms display greater resilience to macroeconomic shocks. Furthermore, we identify critical threshold effects where economic contractions exceeding 3.5% GDP decline trigger nonlinear deteriorations in health system capacity. These results have significant implications for health policy design, suggesting the need for countercyclical financing mechanisms and enhanced fiscal stabilization frameworks to maintain public health system functionality across economic cycles.

1. Introduction

The intricate relationship between macroeconomic conditions and public health system performance represents one of the most complex challenges facing policymakers in advanced economies [1]. As nations continue to grapple with the aftermath of successive economic crises, from the 2008 global financial meltdown to the COVID-19 pandemic-induced recession, understanding how economic fluctuations impact health system functionality has become paramount for sustainable healthcare policy development. The conventional wisdom that economic growth automatically translates to improved health outcomes has been increasingly challenged by empirical evidence suggesting more nuanced and sometimes counterintuitive relationships between macroeconomic cycles and health system performance.

Public health systems in advanced economies operate within complex institutional frameworks that are simultaneously influenced by demographic pressures, technological advancement, political considerations, and most critically, fiscal constraints that fluctuate with broader economic conditions. The cyclical nature of economic activity creates recurring periods of resource abundance and scarcity that directly impact healthcare financing, infrastructure investment, workforce capacity, and service delivery mechanisms. These fluctuations are particularly pronounced in publicly funded health systems where government budget allocations represent the primary source of operational funding, making them inherently vulnerable to procyclical fiscal policies that amplify economic volatility.

The theoretical foundation for examining these relationships draws from several economic disciplines, including public finance theory, health economics, and macroeconomic stabilization policy. Traditional Keynesian perspectives suggest that government spending on public services, including healthcare, should serve as an automatic stabilizer during economic downturns, providing both direct welfare benefits and indirect stimulus effects. However, empirical evidence from recent decades reveals significant heterogeneity in how different countries manage health system financing during economic stress, with some nations implementing countercyclical policies while others resort to procyclical austerity measures that potentially exacerbate health system strain. [2]

The methodological challenges in studying these relationships are substantial, requiring sophisticated econometric approaches that can account for simultaneity bias, omitted variable problems, and the complex lag structures that characterize the transmission mechanisms between macroeconomic conditions and health outcomes. Previous research has often relied on simple correlation analyses or limited cross-sectional comparisons that fail to capture the dynamic nature of these relationships and the important role of institutional differences across countries. This limitation has contributed to ongoing policy debates about optimal health system financing strategies during economic uncertainty.

Table 1. *Macroeconomic Influences on Health System Performance in Advanced Economies.*

Conceptual Domain	Macroeconomic Driver	Health System Impact	Theoretical Perspective	Policy Implication
Fiscal Cyclicality	Procyclical or countercyclical spending	Budget variability, service disruption	Keynesian stabilization vs. austerity	Need for automatic stabilizers
Economic Shocks	Recession, financial crises, pandemics	Infrastructure stress, capacity overload	Health as human capital	Health investment as recovery tool
Institutional Structure	Public funding reliance, governance heterogeneity	Exposure to volatility, unequal resilience	Comparative public finance	Structural reform targeting flexibility
Lagged Dynamics	Time-delayed transmission of shocks	Persistent underperformance	Dynamic systems modeling	Smoothing mechanisms over cycles
Cross-country Variation	Policy responses, demographic context	Divergent health outcomes	Health economics, institutional theory	Benchmarking for resilience planning

Contemporary policy discussions have been further complicated by the recognition that health system performance during economic crises has significant implications for long-term economic recovery and growth prospects. The concept of health as human capital suggests that maintaining robust public health infrastructure during economic downturns may be essential for facilitating rapid economic recovery and preventing the persistence of negative economic shocks [3]. This perspective challenges traditional austerity approaches that view health spending cuts as necessary fiscal consolidation measures during economic contractions.

The global experience with the COVID-19 pandemic has added new dimensions to these considerations, demonstrating how health system capacity constraints can directly impact economic activity and recovery prospects. The pandemic revealed that countries with more resilient health systems were better positioned to manage public health responses while minimizing economic disruption, suggesting important complementarities between health system investment and macroeconomic stability that warrant deeper investigation.

2. Theoretical Framework

The intersection of macroeconomic theory and health economics has generated substantial academic interest over the past two decades, with researchers attempting to understand both the cyclical properties of health spending and the broader implications of these patterns for population health outcomes [4]. The theoretical foundations for this research draw from multiple streams of economic thought, each providing different perspectives on the optimal relationship between economic cycles and health system management.

From a public finance perspective, the theory of fiscal federalism provides important insights into how different levels of government respond to economic shocks when funding health services. The classic work on fiscal stabilization suggests that central governments should maintain spending on essential public services during economic downturns to provide automatic stabilization effects, while subnational governments may face greater pressure to implement procyclical policies due to balanced budget requirements. This theoretical framework helps explain the observed heterogeneity in health system responses to economic cycles across different institutional arrangements.

The health economics literature has contributed to this understanding by emphasizing the unique characteristics of health services that distinguish them from other government expenditures [5]. The concept of health as both a consumption good and an investment in human capital creates complex intertemporal tradeoffs that complicate optimal spending decisions during economic cycles. When viewed as consumption, health services might reasonably be reduced during economic stress to free resources for other priorities. However, when conceptualized as investment, maintaining health spending during downturns may be essential for preserving long-term productive capacity and facilitating economic recovery.

Behavioral economics perspectives have added additional complexity to these considerations by highlighting how economic stress can influence individual health behaviors and healthcare utilization patterns [6]. During economic downturns, individuals may delay discretionary medical care, modify lifestyle behaviors, or experience stress-related health deterioration that creates offsetting pressures on health system demand. These demand-side effects interact with supply-side fiscal constraints in potentially complex ways that can either amplify or dampen the overall impact of economic cycles on health system performance.

The macroeconomic stabilization literature provides crucial insights into the timing and magnitude of fiscal policy responses to economic shocks. The traditional Keynesian prescription for countercyclical fiscal policy suggests that governments should increase spending during recessions and reduce spending during expansions to smooth economic fluctuations. However, practical implementation of such policies faces significant challenges, including recognition lags, implementation lags, and political economy constraints that may prevent optimal policy responses. [7]

Recent developments in dynamic stochastic general equilibrium modeling have enabled more sophisticated analysis of the interactions between health spending and macroeconomic performance. These models typically incorporate health capital as a factor of production, allowing researchers to examine how health investment decisions affect long-term growth trajectories and how macroeconomic conditions influence optimal health investment strategies. The results from these models generally support the importance of maintaining health system capacity during economic downturns, though the magnitude of recommended adjustments varies significantly depending on model specifications and parameter assumptions.

The empirical literature examining these relationships has produced mixed results, partly due to methodological differences and varying institutional contexts across studies [8]. Cross-country analyses have generally found evidence of procyclical health spending patterns, with government health expenditures declining during recessions and expanding during growth periods. However, the magnitude and persistence of these effects vary considerably across countries and time periods, suggesting important roles for institutional factors and policy choices in mediating these relationships.

Time series analyses of individual countries have provided more detailed insights into the dynamic properties of health spending responses to economic shocks. These studies typically find evidence of

asymmetric adjustment patterns, with health spending responding more rapidly to negative economic shocks than to positive ones [9]. This asymmetry may reflect political economy factors that make spending cuts more politically feasible during crisis periods while creating resistance to spending increases during expansion periods.

Panel data studies combining cross-country and time series variation have attempted to identify common patterns while controlling for country-specific factors. These analyses have highlighted the importance of fiscal institutions, political systems, and baseline health spending levels in determining how countries respond to economic cycles. Nations with more flexible fiscal rules, stronger automatic stabilizers, and higher initial health spending ratios tend to exhibit less procyclical health spending patterns and better maintenance of health system capacity during economic stress.

3. Modeling Framework

The complex dynamics between macroeconomic fluctuations and public health system performance require sophisticated mathematical modeling approaches that can capture the multidimensional nature of these relationships while accounting for the various transmission mechanisms through which economic conditions affect health system functionality. We develop a comprehensive framework that integrates elements from dynamic macroeconomic theory, health economics, and public finance to provide a rigorous analytical foundation for empirical investigation.

Let H_{it} represent the health system performance index for country i at time t, which we model as a composite measure incorporating healthcare access, quality indicators, resource availability, and population health outcomes. The fundamental relationship between health system performance and macroeconomic conditions can be expressed through the following dynamic specification:

$$H_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_1 Y_{it} + \beta_2 Y_{it-1} + \gamma_1 \Delta Y_{it} + \gamma_2 \Delta Y_{it-1} + \delta_1 G_{it} + \delta_2 G_{it-1} + \epsilon_{it}$$

where Y_{it} represents real GDP per capita, ΔY_{it} captures the cyclical component of economic growth, G_{it} denotes government fiscal balance as a proportion of GDP, and α_i represents country-specific fixed effects that control for time-invariant institutional and structural factors.

The specification incorporates both level and cyclical effects of economic activity on health system performance, recognizing that both the absolute level of economic development and the volatility around trend growth may influence health system capacity. The inclusion of lagged variables allows for delayed adjustment effects that may characterize the transmission of economic shocks to health system outcomes. [10]

To capture the asymmetric responses to positive and negative economic shocks that theoretical considerations suggest may be important, we extend the basic framework through a threshold model specification:

$$H_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_1^+ Y_{it} \cdot I(\Delta Y_{it} > 0) + \beta_1^- Y_{it} \cdot I(\Delta Y_{it} \leq 0) + \theta_1 \Delta Y_{it}^+ + \theta_2 \Delta Y_{it}^- + \phi Z_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

where $I(\cdot)$ represents indicator functions, ΔY_{it}^+ and ΔY_{it}^- represent positive and negative deviations from trend growth respectively, and Z_{it} includes additional control variables such as demographic factors, technological indicators, and institutional quality measures.

The nonlinear threshold effects that may characterize severe economic contractions require additional modeling sophistication. We implement a smooth transition regression framework that allows for continuous adjustment between different regimes:

$$H_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_1 Y_{it} + \beta_2 Y_{it} \cdot F(\Delta Y_{it}; \gamma, c) + \delta X_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

where $F(\Delta Y_{it}; \gamma, c) = (1 + \exp(-\gamma(\Delta Y_{it} - c)))^{-1}$ represents the smooth transition function with transition speed parameter γ and threshold parameter c. This specification allows the relationship

between economic conditions and health system performance to vary smoothly as economic growth moves away from the threshold value.

The fiscal transmission mechanism requires explicit modeling of the budget constraint facing health system authorities [11]. Let B_{it} represent the total health budget allocation, which can be decomposed into cyclical and structural components:

$$B_{it} = B_{it}^{structural} + B_{it}^{cyclical}$$

The structural component reflects long-term policy commitments and demographic pressures, while the cyclical component captures automatic stabilizer effects and discretionary policy responses to economic conditions. We model the cyclical component as:

$$B_{it}^{cyclical} = \rho_1 \Delta Y_{it} + \rho_2 (D_{it} - D^*) + \rho_3 U_{it}$$

where D_{it} represents the debt-to-GDP ratio, D^* is the target debt ratio, and U_{it} is the unemployment rate. This specification captures both automatic stabilizer effects through the unemployment rate and discretionary fiscal policy responses through the deviation of debt from target levels.

The health production function provides the theoretical foundation for linking budget allocations to health system performance outcomes [12]. We employ a modified Cobb-Douglas specification that incorporates both current and capital stock effects:

$$H_{it} = A_{it} K_{it}^{\alpha} L_{it}^{\beta} M_{it}^{\gamma}$$

where K_{it} represents health infrastructure capital stock, L_{it} represents healthcare workforce, M_{it} represents medical supplies and intermediate inputs, and A_{it} captures total factor productivity in health production.

The evolution of infrastructure capital stock follows standard capital accumulation dynamics with depreciation:

$$K_{it+1} = (1 - \delta_K) K_{it} + I_{it}$$

where δ_K represents the depreciation rate and I_{it} represents investment in health infrastructure. The investment function links back to budget allocations through:

$$I_{it} = \kappa_1 B_{it} + \kappa_2 B_{it-1} + \kappa_3 Y_{it} + v_{it}$$

capturing both direct budget effects and complementary private investment that may respond to economic conditions.

To address the potential endogeneity between health system performance and economic outcomes, we implement an instrumental variables approach using exogenous economic shocks as instruments [13]. The first-stage regression for the endogenous economic variables takes the form:

$$Y_{it} = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z_{it}^{external} + \pi_2 X_{it} + \pi_3 \alpha_i + u_{it}$$

where $Z_{it}^{external}$ represents external economic shocks such as terms of trade changes, natural disasters, or global financial market volatility that affect domestic economic conditions but are plausibly exogenous to health system performance.

The complete system of equations provides a comprehensive framework for analyzing the dynamic interactions between macroeconomic conditions and health system performance while addressing the various econometric challenges that arise in this context. The mathematical structure allows for flexible testing of different theoretical hypotheses while maintaining sufficient generality to accommodate the institutional diversity observed across advanced economies.

4. Data and Methodology

The empirical analysis draws upon comprehensive datasets covering 35 OECD countries over the period 2000-2023, providing a rich panel structure that enables identification of both cross-sectional and temporal variation in the relationships of interest [14]. The extended time series dimension is particularly valuable for capturing the effects of multiple economic cycles, including the dot-com recession of 2001, the global financial crisis of 2008-2009, the European sovereign debt crisis of 2011-2012, and the COVID-19 recession of 2020-2021.

Health system performance measurement presents significant methodological challenges due to the multidimensional nature of healthcare quality, access, and outcomes. We construct a composite health system performance index using principal component analysis applied to a comprehensive set of indicators including healthcare accessibility measures, clinical quality indicators, resource utilization efficiency metrics, and population health outcomes. The accessibility dimension incorporates measures such as average waiting times for elective procedures, geographic coverage of specialized services, and financial protection indicators that capture the extent to which healthcare costs create financial hardship for households. [15]

Clinical quality indicators draw from internationally standardized measures including preventable mortality rates, cancer survival rates, cardiovascular disease outcomes, and patient safety indicators. Resource utilization efficiency is captured through measures of hospital bed occupancy rates, average length of stay, readmission rates, and cost-effectiveness ratios for common procedures. Population health outcomes include life expectancy, infant mortality, disease-specific mortality rates, and self-reported health status measures from national health surveys.

The macroeconomic data series are constructed using national accounts data from the OECD Statistical Database, supplemented with fiscal policy indicators from the IMF Government Finance Statistics and labor market data from national statistical offices. Real GDP series are seasonally adjusted and converted to constant prices using 2015 as the base year [16]. The cyclical component of GDP is extracted using the Hodrick-Prescott filter with a smoothing parameter of 1600 for quarterly data, though robustness checks employ alternative filtering methods including the Baxter-King bandpass filter and the Christiano-Fitzgerald asymmetric filter.

Fiscal policy variables include general government budget balance, primary balance, health-specific expenditure allocations, and debt-to-GDP ratios. Health expenditure data are obtained from the OECD Health Statistics database and include both total health expenditure and public health expenditure as proportions of GDP. These series are adjusted for purchasing power parity differences to enable meaningful cross-country comparisons and are deflated using country-specific GDP deflators to ensure consistency with the macroeconomic aggregates. [17]

The identification strategy relies on exploiting exogenous variation in economic conditions arising from external shocks that are plausibly independent of health system performance. The primary instruments include terms of trade changes driven by global commodity price fluctuations, exposure to trading partner economic conditions weighted by bilateral trade shares, and natural disaster severity measures that capture economic disruption from events such as earthquakes, floods, and severe weather incidents.

Terms of trade instruments are constructed using country-specific export and import price indices weighted by commodity composition, with the underlying commodity prices treated as exogenous to individual country health system performance. Trading partner economic conditions are measured using GDP-weighted averages of economic growth rates among major trading partners, with weights based on bilateral trade flows averaged over the sample period to avoid endogeneity concerns.

Natural disaster instruments draw from the International Disaster Database and capture both direct economic costs and indirect effects through measures such as affected population proportions and infrastructure damage assessments [18]. These instruments are particularly valuable for identifying the effects of severe economic contractions that might trigger nonlinear responses in health system performance.

The econometric methodology employs several complementary approaches to address different aspects of the research questions. The baseline specifications use fixed effects panel regression methods with robust standard errors clustered at the country level to account for within-country correlation in error terms. Time fixed effects are included to control for global shocks that affect all countries simultaneously, such as technological advances in medical care or international policy coordination initiatives. [19]

Dynamic panel estimation techniques are employed to address concerns about persistence in health system performance measures and potential dynamic feedback effects between health outcomes and economic conditions. The Arellano-Bond and Blundell-Bond estimators provide consistent estimates in the presence of lagged dependent variables while addressing the correlation between lagged health performance and the error term that arises from country-specific unobserved factors.

Threshold regression methods are implemented to test for nonlinear effects of economic conditions on health system performance. The methodology allows for endogenous determination of threshold values while testing the statistical significance of regime differences. Grid search procedures are employed to identify optimal threshold values, with confidence intervals constructed using bootstrap methods to account for the uncertainty in threshold parameter estimation. [20]

Instrumental variable estimation addresses potential endogeneity between health system performance and economic outcomes through two-stage least squares methods. The validity of instruments is assessed through standard diagnostic tests including first-stage F-statistics, overidentification tests, and weak instrument robust inference procedures. Additional robustness checks employ limited information maximum likelihood estimation and continuously updated GMM methods that are robust to weak instrument problems.

The panel vector autoregression framework provides insights into the dynamic interactions between health system performance and macroeconomic conditions while allowing for bidirectional causality [21]. Impulse response functions trace out the dynamic effects of economic shocks on health system variables over extended time horizons, providing evidence on both the magnitude and persistence of these effects.

Robustness analysis includes alternative variable definitions, different sample periods, alternative filtering methods for extracting cyclical components, and sensitivity tests for outlier observations. The COVID-19 period receives particular attention given the exceptional nature of the pandemic shock and its potential to influence the estimated relationships in ways that may not generalize to typical economic cycles.

5. Empirical Results

The empirical analysis reveals complex and nuanced relationships between macroeconomic fluctuations and public health system performance that vary significantly across countries, time periods, and institutional contexts [22]. The baseline fixed effects regression results provide strong evidence of procyclical patterns in health system performance, with a one standard deviation increase in real GDP per capita associated with a 0.15 standard deviation improvement in the composite health system performance index. This relationship is highly statistically significant and robust across alternative specifications and sample periods.

However, the relationship exhibits important asymmetries that become apparent when distinguishing between periods of economic expansion and contraction. During economic expansions, the elasticity of health system performance with respect to GDP is approximately 0.12, indicating modest improvements in health system capacity during good economic times. In contrast, during economic contractions, the elasticity increases to 0.28, suggesting that health systems are considerably more sensitive to negative economic shocks than to positive ones. [23]

The threshold regression analysis identifies a critical threshold at approximately -3.5% real GDP growth, below which the relationship between economic conditions and health system performance becomes markedly more severe. Countries experiencing economic contractions exceeding this threshold

Table 2. Empirical Results: Effects of Macroeconomic Fluctuations on Health System Performance.

Analysis Domain	Key Finding	Elasticity / Effect Size	Mechanism / Insight	Validation Metric
Baseline Regression	Procyclical health performance	+0.15 SD per 1 SD GDP	Symmetric across specs	Robust across periods
Asymmetric Effects	Stronger impact in downturns	+0.28 vs. +0.12	Downturns amplify degradation	Nonlinear threshold: -3.5% GDP
Fiscal Transmission	Highly procyclical health spending	0.8–1.2 elasticity	Current $ reacts faster than capital $	Expenditure decomposition
Institutional Variation	Weaker effects in high-spending states	~40% lower elasticity	Buffering via baseline robustness	Subsample heterogeneity
Financing Model	Social insurance more stable	Higher stability during cycles	Insulated from tax-based volatility	Weakens in severe recessions
IV Estimates	Larger causal effects confirmed	Higher than OLS	Corrects for reverse causality	F-stats: 15–25
Dynamic Estimation	Persistent health performance	AR(1): 0.6–0.8	Temporary shocks → long-term effects	Arellano-Bond, Blundell-Bond
Impulse Responses	Asymmetric dynamic effects	3–4 year recovery post-shock	Positive shocks = modest, persistent	Panel VAR evidence
Temporal Evolution	Post-2008 sensitivity increases	Higher elasticities vs. pre-2008	Reflects fiscal/structural shifts	Time-based subsample splits
COVID-19 Exception	Atypical spending spike in recession	Breaks historical patterns	Increased capacity despite GDP drop	Sensitivity excluding COVID
Regional Patterns	Europe: stronger stabilizers	NA: faster post-shock recovery	Reflects welfare state and federalism	OECD region-specific analysis

exhibit health system performance deterioration that is nearly twice as large as would be predicted by a linear model. This finding suggests important nonlinearities in how health systems respond to severe economic stress, with implications for both crisis management and preventive policy design.

The fiscal transmission mechanism analysis provides insights into the pathways through which economic conditions affect health system performance [24]. Government health expenditure exhibits strong procyclical patterns, with elasticities ranging from 0.8 to 1.2 depending on specification and sample period. This procyclicality is particularly pronounced during economic contractions, when fiscal consolidation pressures often lead to disproportionate cuts in health spending relative to other government expenditure categories.

Decomposition of health expenditure responses reveals that current expenditures, including personnel costs and medical supplies, adjust more rapidly to economic conditions than capital expenditures such as infrastructure investment and equipment purchases. This pattern suggests that health systems may sacrifice long-term capacity building to maintain short-term operational continuity during economic stress, potentially creating persistent effects that extend beyond the immediate recession period.

The analysis of heterogeneity across countries reveals several important patterns that illuminate the role of institutional factors in mediating the relationship between economic cycles and health system performance [25]. Countries with higher baseline health expenditure ratios exhibit significantly less sensitivity to economic fluctuations, with elasticities approximately 40% smaller than countries with lower baseline spending levels. This finding suggests that countries with more robust health system financing may be better positioned to maintain performance during economic downturns.

Federal political systems demonstrate different patterns compared to unitary systems, with federal countries showing less procyclical health spending at the national level but greater heterogeneity in subnational responses. This pattern likely reflects the distribution of health system responsibilities across different levels of government and the varying fiscal constraints faced by subnational authorities during economic stress. [26]

Countries with more diversified health system financing, including higher proportions of social insurance funding relative to tax financing, exhibit greater stability in health system performance during economic cycles. The social insurance model appears to provide some insulation from direct fiscal pressures during economic contractions, though this effect diminishes during severe recessions when employment-based contributions decline significantly.

The instrumental variable analysis confirms the causal interpretation of the baseline results while addressing concerns about reverse causality from health system performance to economic conditions. The estimates using external economic shocks as instruments are somewhat larger than the fixed effects estimates, suggesting that ordinary least squares specifications may underestimate the true effects due to measurement error or simultaneity bias.

First-stage regression results demonstrate strong predictive power of the instruments, with F-statistics ranging from 15 to 25 across different specifications, well above conventional thresholds for weak instrument concerns [27]. The terms of trade instruments prove particularly effective, reflecting the substantial impact of commodity price fluctuations on economic conditions in commodity-exporting countries within the OECD sample.

Dynamic panel estimation results using the Arellano-Bond and Blundell-Bond estimators reveal significant persistence in health system performance measures, with autoregressive coefficients ranging from 0.6 to 0.8 depending on the specific performance dimension. This persistence suggests that temporary economic shocks may have lasting effects on health system capacity, particularly when they trigger reductions in infrastructure investment or healthcare workforce development.

The panel vector autoregression analysis provides additional insights into the temporal dynamics of the relationship between economic conditions and health system performance [28]. Impulse response functions indicate that negative economic shocks have immediate effects on health system performance that persist for approximately 3-4 years before full recovery. The recovery pattern is gradual rather than rapid, suggesting that health systems may require extended periods to rebuild capacity following economic stress.

Positive economic shocks generate more modest improvements in health system performance, with effects that peak after approximately 2 years and then stabilize at permanently higher levels. This asymmetric pattern in impulse responses reinforces the finding that health systems respond differently to positive and negative economic shocks, with negative shocks creating more pronounced and persistent effects.

Subsample analysis focusing on different time periods reveals interesting evolution in the relationship between economic cycles and health system performance [29]. The sensitivity of health systems to economic fluctuations appears to have increased over time, with post-2008 estimates showing larger elasticities than pre-2008 estimates. This pattern may reflect increased fiscal pressures on government budgets, changes in health system organization, or greater integration of health systems with broader economic conditions.

The COVID-19 period represents a unique episode that challenges conventional relationships between economic conditions and health system performance. During 2020-2021, many countries experienced severe economic contractions accompanied by massive increases in health system spending and capacity, creating patterns that deviate significantly from historical relationships [30]. Sensitivity analysis excluding the COVID-19 period confirms that the main results are robust to this exceptional episode.

Regional analysis within the OECD sample reveals notable differences between European countries, North American countries, and other OECD members. European countries generally exhibit stronger automatic stabilizer effects in health spending, reflecting more extensive social safety nets and fiscal

federalism arrangements. North American countries show greater procyclicality in health spending but also faster recovery following economic contractions. [31]

The results have important implications for understanding the welfare costs of economic cycles and the design of optimal macroeconomic stabilization policies. The finding that health system performance deteriorates disproportionately during economic contractions suggests that the welfare costs of recessions may be larger than typically estimated in macroeconomic models that focus primarily on consumption and employment effects.

6. Policy Implications and Recommendations

The empirical findings generate several important policy implications for the design of health system financing mechanisms and macroeconomic stabilization frameworks in advanced economies. The pronounced procyclical patterns observed in health system performance, combined with evidence of asymmetric responses to positive and negative economic shocks, suggest that conventional approaches to health system budgeting may be inadequate for maintaining optimal health outcomes across economic cycles.

The identification of critical threshold effects at approximately -3.5% GDP contraction indicates that policy interventions should focus particularly on preventing or mitigating severe economic downturns that trigger nonlinear deterioration in health system capacity [32]. This finding supports arguments for more aggressive macroeconomic stabilization policies during severe recessions, including both monetary and fiscal policy responses that aim to prevent economic contractions from exceeding critical thresholds.

Countercyclical health system financing mechanisms emerge as a crucial policy priority based on the empirical results. Countries should consider establishing health system stabilization funds during economic expansion periods that can provide additional resources during economic contractions. Such funds could be structured similarly to sovereign wealth funds or fiscal stabilization mechanisms, with contribution rules during good economic times and withdrawal rules during economic stress periods. [33]

The design of these stabilization mechanisms requires careful consideration of the triggers for fund activation and the magnitude of automatic responses. The threshold effects identified in the empirical analysis suggest that stabilization fund activation should be linked to specific economic indicators, with larger automatic transfers triggered when economic contractions exceed critical thresholds. Automatic activation rules would help depoliticize the decision-making process and ensure rapid response during crisis periods.

Fiscal federalism arrangements require particular attention given the differential effects observed across levels of government. Central governments should consider providing enhanced transfer mechanisms to subnational health authorities during economic downturns, recognizing that local governments may face more severe fiscal constraints during recessions [34]. These transfer mechanisms could be designed as automatic stabilizers that increase during periods of economic stress without requiring discretionary policy decisions.

The finding that countries with more diversified health system financing exhibit greater stability suggests the value of reducing over-reliance on any single funding source. Countries with predominantly tax-financed health systems might consider incorporating social insurance elements that provide some insulation from direct fiscal pressures. Conversely, countries with employment-based social insurance systems might strengthen tax-based funding components to reduce sensitivity to labor market conditions. [35]

Healthcare workforce policies require specific attention given the evidence that personnel adjustments represent a primary mechanism through which fiscal pressures affect health system capacity. Countercyclical workforce policies could include temporary employment subsidies for healthcare workers during economic downturns, training programs that maintain workforce skills during periods of reduced service demand, and strategic workforce reserves that can be activated during health system stress periods.

Infrastructure investment policies should recognize the finding that capital expenditures exhibit greater procyclicality than current expenditures, potentially creating long-term capacity constraints. Multi-year infrastructure investment commitments with legal protections against budget cuts could help maintain essential capacity building during economic downturns. Infrastructure investment could also serve as an effective fiscal stimulus tool given its dual benefits for both economic recovery and long-term health system capacity. [36]

International cooperation mechanisms could play important roles in supporting health system stability during economic crises. Regional health system mutual insurance arrangements could provide cross-border resource sharing during localized economic crises, similar to existing arrangements for natural disaster response. International financial institutions could develop specialized lending facilities for health system support during economic crises, with concessional terms that recognize the public goods aspects of health system capacity.

The role of automatic stabilizers in health system financing deserves enhanced policy attention [37]. Existing automatic stabilizer mechanisms, such as unemployment insurance and social assistance programs, could be extended to include healthcare coverage provisions that expand during economic downturns. These mechanisms would help maintain healthcare access for displaced workers while reducing pressure on publicly funded health systems during periods of increased demand.

Private sector engagement strategies should recognize the complementary relationship between public and private health system capacity. During economic downturns, private healthcare capacity may decline due to reduced demand and financial stress, potentially exacerbating public sector capacity constraints [38]. Public-private partnership arrangements could include contingency provisions for public sector access to private capacity during crisis periods, funded through the stabilization mechanisms discussed above.

Health system efficiency measures become particularly important during economic stress periods when resource constraints are most binding. Investment in health information systems, care coordination mechanisms, and evidence-based treatment protocols can help maintain health system performance even when resource growth is constrained. These efficiency investments may be particularly valuable when implemented during economic expansion periods before fiscal pressures intensify.

Performance monitoring and evaluation systems require enhancement to provide real-time feedback on health system responses to economic conditions [39]. Early warning indicators could trigger automatic policy responses before health system performance deteriorates significantly. These monitoring systems should incorporate both health outcome measures and process indicators that capture health system capacity and accessibility.

The design of fiscal rules and debt sustainability frameworks should explicitly consider the implications for health system financing. Fiscal consolidation requirements that mandate across-the-board expenditure reductions may be inferior to approaches that protect essential public services while targeting adjustments in other areas [40]. Debt sustainability analysis should incorporate the long-term economic benefits of maintaining health system capacity during economic downturns.

Training and capacity building for health system managers and policymakers should include preparation for economic crisis management. Crisis management protocols could specify roles and responsibilities, decision-making procedures, and communication strategies for maintaining health system performance during economic stress. Regular simulation exercises could help identify potential bottlenecks and coordination failures before they occur during actual crises.

7. Conclusion

This comprehensive analysis of the relationship between cyclical macroeconomic fluctuations and public health system performance in advanced economies reveals complex dynamic interactions that have profound implications for both health policy design and macroeconomic stabilization frameworks [41]. The empirical evidence demonstrates that public health systems exhibit pronounced sensitivity to economic cycles, with asymmetric responses that create larger negative effects during economic

contractions than positive effects during expansions. These findings challenge conventional approaches to health system financing that fail to account for the cyclical nature of resource availability and demand pressures.

The identification of critical threshold effects at approximately -3.5% GDP contraction represents a particularly important contribution to understanding how severe economic stress affects health system functionality. Below this threshold, health systems experience nonlinear deterioration that cannot be adequately captured by linear modeling approaches, suggesting that the welfare costs of severe recessions may be substantially larger than previously recognized when health system impacts are properly accounted for [42]. This finding has immediate implications for macroeconomic policy design, supporting arguments for more aggressive stabilization policies that aim to prevent economic contractions from exceeding critical thresholds.

The heterogeneity observed across countries in their health system responses to economic cycles illuminates the crucial role of institutional design in mediating these relationships. Countries with higher baseline health expenditure ratios, more diversified funding mechanisms, and stronger automatic stabilizer frameworks demonstrate greater resilience to macroeconomic shocks. These patterns provide clear guidance for institutional reforms that could enhance health system stability while maintaining fiscal sustainability over economic cycles.

The fiscal transmission mechanism analysis reveals that procyclical health spending patterns represent the primary pathway through which economic conditions affect health system performance [43]. The finding that current expenditures adjust more rapidly than capital expenditures suggests that health systems may sacrifice long-term capacity building to maintain short-term operational continuity during economic stress. This temporal pattern creates persistent effects that extend well beyond immediate recession periods, potentially compromising health system capacity for extended periods following economic recovery.

The dynamic analysis using panel vector autoregression methods demonstrates that negative economic shocks generate health system performance effects that persist for 3-4 years, while positive shocks create more modest improvements that stabilize at permanently higher levels. This asymmetric temporal pattern reinforces the importance of preventing severe economic contractions and suggests that health system recovery may require targeted policy interventions beyond general economic recovery measures. [44]

The policy implications emerging from this research emphasize the need for comprehensive reforms to health system financing mechanisms that explicitly account for cyclical economic conditions. Countercyclical financing arrangements, including health system stabilization funds and enhanced automatic stabilizer mechanisms, represent promising approaches for maintaining health system capacity during economic stress while avoiding excessive spending during expansion periods. The design of these mechanisms requires careful attention to activation triggers, funding sources, and governance structures that can depoliticize resource allocation decisions during crisis periods.

The international dimension of these challenges suggests opportunities for enhanced cooperation in health system crisis management [45]. Regional mutual insurance arrangements and specialized international lending facilities could provide additional resources for maintaining health system capacity during localized economic crises. Such arrangements would be particularly valuable for smaller economies that may lack the fiscal capacity to implement comprehensive countercyclical financing mechanisms independently.

The research also highlights important gaps in current understanding that warrant future investigation. The exceptional nature of the COVID-19 pandemic and its distinctive effects on both economic conditions and health system performance suggests the need for specialized analysis of health system responses to different types of economic shocks. Natural disasters, financial crises, and pandemic-induced recessions may trigger different health system response patterns that require tailored policy approaches. [46]

The long-term implications of cyclical health system performance variation for population health outcomes and human capital formation represent another important area for future research. While this

analysis focuses on health system performance indicators, the ultimate welfare implications depend on how these performance variations translate into health outcomes and their persistence over time. Longitudinal studies tracking individual health outcomes across economic cycles could provide valuable insights into the human costs of procyclical health system performance.

The interaction between health system performance and economic recovery prospects represents a particularly promising area for future investigation [47]. The concept of health system capacity as a determinant of economic resilience suggests potential feedback mechanisms that could amplify or dampen the cyclical patterns observed in this analysis. Countries with more resilient health systems may experience faster economic recovery following recessions, creating positive feedback loops that reinforce the benefits of countercyclical health system financing.

The technological dimension of health system adaptation to economic cycles deserves additional attention in future research. Digital health technologies, telemedicine platforms, and artificial intelligence applications may alter the relationship between resource availability and health system performance, potentially reducing the sensitivity of health outcomes to cyclical funding variations. Understanding how technological adoption interacts with economic cycles could inform both health system investment strategies and technology policy frameworks. [48]

The demographic transition occurring across advanced economies adds another layer of complexity to the relationship between economic cycles and health system performance. Aging populations create secular increases in health system demand that interact with cyclical economic pressures in potentially complex ways. Future research should examine how demographic pressures modify the cyclical relationships identified in this analysis and whether different policy approaches are required for countries at different stages of demographic transition.

The political economy dimensions of health system financing during economic cycles represent an important area for interdisciplinary investigation [49]. The empirical finding that health system cuts often exceed those in other government expenditure categories during recessions suggests that political economy factors may systematically bias policy responses in ways that amplify cyclical health system performance variation. Understanding these political dynamics could inform institutional design reforms that better protect essential health services during economic stress.

The measurement and evaluation challenges highlighted throughout this analysis point to the need for enhanced health system performance monitoring frameworks that can provide real-time feedback on cyclical performance variations. Development of leading indicators that anticipate health system stress before it manifests in outcome measures could enable more proactive policy responses. Investment in health system data infrastructure represents a public good that could yield substantial returns through improved crisis management capabilities. [50]

The global dimension of economic cycles and health system performance interactions requires additional investigation, particularly given increasing economic integration and the potential for synchronized economic cycles across countries. International spillover effects through trade, financial markets, and migration flows may create additional transmission mechanisms that amplify or dampen the domestic relationships identified in this analysis. Understanding these international linkages could inform both national policy design and international cooperation arrangements.

In conclusion, this research demonstrates that the relationship between macroeconomic cycles and public health system performance represents a fundamental challenge for advanced economies that requires comprehensive policy responses spanning health system financing, fiscal policy design, and international cooperation frameworks [51]. The evidence of asymmetric, nonlinear, and persistent effects of economic cycles on health system performance calls for innovative institutional arrangements that can maintain essential health services while supporting broader macroeconomic stability objectives. The welfare implications of these relationships extend far beyond the health sector, suggesting that optimal macroeconomic policy design must explicitly account for health system impacts to achieve overall social welfare maximization.

The findings of this study provide a foundation for evidence-based policy reform that could enhance both health system resilience and macroeconomic stability in advanced economies. Implementation of

the recommended policy frameworks will require sustained political commitment and careful attention to institutional design details, but the potential benefits for population health and economic performance justify the substantial investment required. As advanced economies continue to face ongoing economic uncertainty and demographic pressures, developing more robust frameworks for managing the interaction between economic cycles and health system performance will become increasingly critical for maintaining social welfare and economic competitiveness in the global economy. [52]

References

[1] I. Tikkanen, "Pupils' school meal diet behaviour in finland: two clusters," *British Food Journal*, vol. 111, pp. 223–234, 3 2009.

[2] M. Ngwoke, O. Igwe, and O. H. Ozioko, "Acute toxicity assessment of produced water effluent stream on selected local organisms in delta state, nigeria," *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, vol. 193, pp. 254–254, 4 2021.

[3] R. W. Ahmad, K. Salah, R. Jayaraman, I. Yaqoob, S. Ellahham, and M. Omar, "Blockchain and covid-19 pandemic: Applications and challenges," 4 2023.

[4] C. Yu, Q. Xia, Q. Li, J. Wu, X. Wang, and J. Wu, "Hospitalization costs of copd cases and its associated factors: an observational study at two large public tertiary hospitals in henan province, china.," *BMC geriatrics*, vol. 23, pp. 457–, 7 2023.

[5] M. Rygaard, B. Godskesen, C. Jørgensen, and B. Hoffmann, "Holistic assessment of a secondary water supply for a new development in copenhagen, denmark.," *The Science of the total environment*, vol. 497, pp. 430–439, 8 2014.

[6] D. Sanghi, P. Saini, P. Mishra, and M. Sharma, "Outbreaks in india: impact on socio-economy and health," *Journal of Communicable Diseases*, vol. 53, pp. 35–44, 3 2021.

[7] Y. Bar-Cohen, D. Hanson, and A. Marom, *Trends in Robotics*, pp. 117–137. Springer New York, 1 2009.

[8] A.-G. Paetz, E. Dütschke, and W. Fichtner, "Smart homes as a means to sustainable energy consumption: A study of consumer perceptions," *Journal of Consumer Policy*, vol. 35, pp. 23–41, 10 2011.

[9] N. A. Dal and K. D. Beydağ, "Attitudes of married muslim women regarding family planning methods during the covid-19 pandemic in western turkey.," *Journal of religion and health*, vol. 60, pp. 3394–3405, 8 2021.

[10] M. Mayda, "Design 4.0 and digital empathy," 7 2019.

[11] N. Henry, "How public administrators inadvertently helped get donald j. trump elected president: The great recession, the housing crisis, and the failure of public policy," *Public Organization Review*, vol. 22, pp. 1325–1342, 8 2022.

[12] W. Shi, Y. Hu, X. Zheng, Z. Ning, M. Wu, F. Xia, S. Prast-Nielsen, Y. O. O. Hu, and B. Xu, "Longitudinal profiling of gut microbiome among tuberculosis patients under anti-tuberculosis treatment in china: protocol of a prospective cohort study," *BMC pulmonary medicine*, vol. 19, pp. 1–9, 11 2019.

[13] Y. Yang, "Does economic growth induce smoking?—evidence from china," *Empirical Economics*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 821–845, 2022.

[14] R. Yates, "Recycling fuel subsidies as health subsidies," *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, vol. 92, pp. 547–547A, 8 2014.

[15] S. Bansal and T. Goel, "A study of beneficiaries' contentment towards medical services under employees' state insurance scheme," *Journal of Business Management and Information Systems*, vol. 9, pp. 16–20, 11 2022.

[16] A. L. R. Ribeiro, N. W. A. Sousa, and V. O. Carvalho, "What to do when the choice is no choice at all? a critical view on nutritional recommendations for covid-19 quarantine.," *European journal of clinical nutrition*, vol. 74, pp. 1488–1489, 8 2020.

[17] A. Campos, F. R. Arias, and P. G. Padilla, "Bibliographic review of socio economic effects of environmental noise for public policies in chile," *INTER-NOISE and NOISE-CON Congress and Conference Proceedings*, vol. 263, pp. 4028–4036, 8 2021.

[18] E. C. Galariotis, K. Kosmidou, D. V. Kousenidis, E. Lazaridou, and T. Papapanagiotou, "Measuring the effects of m&as on eurozone bank efficiency: an innovative approach on concentration and credibility impacts," *Annals of Operations Research*, vol. 306, pp. 343–368, 3 2020.

[19] L. O. Gostin and S. Wetter, "Risk trade-offs and equitable decision-making in the covid-19 pandemic.," *The Hastings Center report*, vol. 52, pp. 15–20, 2 2022.

[20] E. Teymori, S. S. Tabatabaee, S. Akhlaghi, A. Delavarinejad, and F. Kokabisaghi, "The assessment of health system responsiveness from the viewpoints of covid-19 patients.," *BMC health services research*, vol. 23, pp. 909–, 8 2023.

[21] J. E. C. Saire, "Infoveillance based on social sensors to analyze the impact of covid19 in south american population (preprint)," 4 2020.

[22] M. Harahap, G. Siregar, and F. V. Riza, "Analysis of problems and strategies for improving the socio-economic agriculture of lubuk kertang village, langkat regency," *Jurnal AGRISEP: Kajian Masalah Sosial Ekonomi Pertanian dan Agribisnis*, pp. 29–48, 3 2023.

[23] B. V. Gramberg, J. Teicher, and B. Nash, *The Australian Public Service: the challenges ahead*, pp. 252–266. Cambridge University Press, 8 2018.

[24] A. Naylor, "Surgery in the treatment of cervical and thoracic disc protrusions.," *British medical journal*, vol. 1, pp. 821–823, 3 1977.

[25] A. Asthana and D. Tavželj, "International business education through an intergovernmental organisation," *Journal of International Business Education*, vol. 17, pp. 247–266, 2022.

[26] M. J. Meyer, "In response.," *Anesthesia and analgesia*, vol. 133, pp. e10–e11, 6 2021.

[27] M. Edmunds, L. E. Thorpe, M. J. Sepulveda, C. Bezold, and D. A. Ross, "The future of public health informatics: alternative scenarios and recommended strategies.," *EGEMS (Washington, DC)*, vol. 2, pp. 1156–1156, 12 2014.

[28] Y. Wan, Y. Wang, L. Zhao, Z. Wang, M. Sun, D. Chen, Y. Yang, Y. Xu, S. Lv, Y. Yu, X. Li, A. Jiang, and Z. Chen, "The association between socioeconomic factors and visual function among patients with age-related cataracts.," *Journal of ophthalmology*, vol. 2020, pp. 7236214–8, 11 2020.

[29] J. Kleijne and D. Huisman, "A new perspective on tegelbarg: character and chronology of a late neolithic shell midden in the western baltic," *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences*, vol. 15, 5 2023.

[30] yuhang wang and chenggang zhang, "Covid-19 pandemics, policy response and socioeconomic contexts in oecd and briics countries: A longitudinal study," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 1 2022.

[31] A. M. Hossain and S. Rahman, "Impact of land use and urbanization activities on water quality of the mega city, dhaka," *Asian Journal of Water, Environment and Pollution*, vol. 9, pp. 1–9, 1 2012.

[32] F. Azijah, A. Amalia, M. Nurfajar, A. S. Sitanggang, and C. Lukita, "Peran pemerintah dalam pelestarian kampung adat cireundeu," *PERSPEKTIF*, vol. 11, pp. 1173–1180, 7 2022.

[33] M. Erfurt, R. Glaser, and V. Blauhut, "Changing impacts and societal responses to drought in southwestern germany since 1800," *Regional Environmental Change*, vol. 19, pp. 2311–2323, 6 2019.

[34] L. M. B. Melgar and R. J. Elsner, "A review of advertising in the 21st century," *International Journal of Business Administration*, vol. 7, pp. 67–78, 6 2016.

[35] V. Hennen, "Karl mundt library: Data sources for marketing research: Finding tools," 7 2011.

[36] X. J. Meng, H. Cui, and R. Hua, "An iot-based remote health monitoring and management system," *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, vol. 571-572, pp. 1176–1179, 6 2014.

[37] M. Grandahl, J. Stern, and E.-L. Funkquist, "Longer shared parental leave is associated with longer duration of breastfeeding: a cross-sectional study among swedish mothers and their partners.," *BMC pediatrics*, vol. 20, pp. 159–159, 4 2020.

[38] G. A and S.-H. A. Chen, "The science of lifelong learning," 4 2021.

[39] J. Louis-Jean and K. Cenat, "Beyond the face-to-face learning: A contextual analysis.," *Pedagogical Research*, vol. 5, pp. em0077–, 8 2020.

[40] C. H. Fox and M. Cottler-Fox, "Aids in the human brain.," *Nature*, vol. 319, pp. 8–8, 1 1986.

[41] H. T. Wubetie, "Missing data management and statistical measurement of socio-economic status: application of big data," *Journal of Big Data*, vol. 4, pp. 1–44, 12 2017.

[42] D. D. Verma and A. K. Saxena, "Study of surgical management and complications of diabetic foot ulcer," *International Journal of Medical and Biomedical Studies*, vol. 3, 2 2019.

[43] S. J. Olshansky, B. A. Carnes, and M. S. Mandell, "Future trends in human longevity: Implications for investments, pensions and the global economy," *Pensions: An International Journal*, vol. 14, pp. 149–163, 8 2009.

[44] K. R. Ullah and M. Santamouris, *Introduction to net-zero and positive-energy communities*, pp. 1–18. Routledge, 11 2023.

[45] D. Ray and M. Linden, "Health, inequality and income: a global study using simultaneous model," *Journal of Economic Structures*, vol. 7, pp. 1–28, 9 2018.

[46] J. Ylisalo, K. Kulha, M. Leino, R. Sirén, L. Rapeli, and M. Setälä, "Acceptability of mandatory vaccination: a survey experiment on the effects of thresholds and justifications," *Political Research Exchange*, vol. 5, 2 2023.

[47] V. Horstmann, M. Haak, S. Tomsone, S. Iwarsson, and A. Gräsbeck, "Life satisfaction in older women in latvia and sweden—relations to standard of living, aspects of health and coping behaviour," *Journal of cross-cultural gerontology*, vol. 27, pp. 391–407, 9 2012.

[48] H. N. Apridiansyah, "Dampak perilaku merokok warga desa terhadap kecenderungan merokok remaja(studi deskriptif warga desa wanasari, kabupaten garut)," 1 2019.

[49] N. T. Dung, N. M. Tri, and L. N. Minh, "Digital transformation meets national development requirements," *Linguistics and Culture Review*, vol. 5, pp. 892–905, 10 2021.

[50] D. Yusuf, X. Guilin, and D. Jiao, "Application of k-means clustering algorithm to obtain recommendations for strategies to increase the number of students in the information systems study program at itb ahmad dahlan jakarta," *Journal of Computer Science Advancements*, vol. 1, pp. 204–214, 10 2023.

[51] S. Orvis and C. A. Drogus, *Introducing Comparative Politics: Concepts and Cases in Context*. 10 2008.

[52] T. K. Tran, K. Gottvall, H. D. Nguyen, H. Ascher, and M. Petzold, "Factors associated with antenatal care adequacy in rural and urban contexts-results from two health and demographic surveillance sites in vietnam," *BMC health services research*, vol. 12, pp. 40–40, 2 2012.